

To: Wayne, Don[Wayne.Don@epa.gov]; Croxton, Dave[Croxton.David@epa.gov]; Henning, Alan[Henning.Alan@epa.gov]; Wu, Jennifer[Wu.Jennifer@epa.gov]; allison.castellan@noaa.gov[allison.castellan@noaa.gov]; Solloway, Chris[Solloway.Chris@epa.gov]; Flahive, Katie[Flahive.Katie@epa.gov]; Rueda, Helen[Rueda.Helen@epa.gov]; Parry, Roberta[Parry.Roberta@epa.gov]; Melissa Rada - NOAA Affiliate[melissa.rada@noaa.gov]
Cc: joelle.gore@noaa.gov[joelle.gore@noaa.gov]; Psyk, Christine[Psyk.Christine@epa.gov]; Hall, Lynda[Hall.Lynda@epa.gov]; Byrne, Jennifer[Byrne.Jennifer@epa.gov]; jeff.dillen@noaa.gov[jeff.dillen@noaa.gov]; Barber, Anthony[Barber.Anthony@epa.gov]; Sweeney, Stephen[Sweeney.Stephen@epa.gov]
From: Carlin, Jayne
Sent: Wed 10/8/2014 6:24:12 PM
Subject: Revised Decisions and Action Items from Oct 7 OR CZARA Tech Call (changes in blue font)

October 7th OR CZARA Tech Team Meeting

Decisions

- Keep rationales and issue papers separate but combine into one attachment and clearly label each (rationale, supplemental information). Remind reviewers only to carefully edit the rationale and response to comment documents. The supplemental information should only be reviewed for completeness (covering all topics mentioned in Christine's email on 9/25 etc.) and accuracy.
- No need to revise schedule. Work on the agricultural MMs will be delayed until all of the other six topics are completed.
- Due to timing constraints, the Tech Team decided will not change on how comments were distinguished in Response to Comments document (*distinguished by "many/majority" qualifiers or should we just say "Commenters said..."*)
- No need to classify the state submittal as a comment or not. Instead will make sure that all comments contained within the state's submittal provided during the comment period are reflected in the response to comments.
- After the topic expertise groups complete the responses to comments, the response to comments document will be distributed to the Tech, Managerial and Legal teams concurrently.
- To reduce confusion and problems with document version control, Jayne will send separate emails to managerial team and to legal team with exactly what needs to be reviewed.
- Elevate to managers where to include EPA/NOAA's concerns on ag MMs—response to comments, rationale....
- Will not provide excel spreadsheet summarizing comments publically but will be available via FOIA. Also will remove the source of comments from the Response to Comments when finalizing document.

Action Items

•□□□□□□ The management team will discuss preferred option for *pesticides/forestry* during their meeting this week.

- All will provide comments on *OSDS Rationale* and Response to Comments (see my emails dated 10/8) by October 15. Managers should focus review on final paragraph.
- Don will circulate a revised *New Development rationale* once he receives key information from Jenny (who is getting that information from ODEQ). All teams will review and give Don comments no later than one week from the date of receipt. Note that Lynda will be on

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

- Alan will email *Forestry - Riparian Buffers Rationale* that addresses comments received by management and others. *Steve provided comments on 10/1*. All teams will review and give Alan comments no later than October 15. Note that Lynda will be

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

•□□□□□□ *Forestry - Landslides Rationale* – Alan received comments from Christine, Jeff Lockwood, Lynda (see 10/3 email) and Stephen (see 9/26 email). On 10/8, requested comments from Christine and Joelle on revised draft containing Lynda's comments.

•□□□□□□ *Forestry-Roads Rationale* – Alan received comments from Allison and Teresa Kubo on the latest rationale. Once Alan makes the changes based on their comments, he will send the document to the tech, legal and management teams for their review.

•□□□□□□ All will review Jeff's on Qs and As (see my email dated 9/29/14) on *Additional Management Measures* and attorneys will provide comments to Jeff. Will be discussed during the October 9th managers' call. Tech Team will use these talking points to draft response to comments for managerial and legal review. Stephen reminded us to make sure to illuminate the data, information, science for the administrative record to *demonstrate why the additional management measures* applicable to the land uses (forestry) and areas (forested areas) are *"necessary to achieve and maintain WQS."*

•□□□□□□ *Forestry-Pesticides*: Tech Team will review Forestry-Pesticides issue paper emailed by Jenny on 9/19.

•□□□□□□ *Response to Comments*: Tech Team will review 9/19 version of the Response to Comments (see Allison's email). *Completed*. Jenny will distribute draft responses to comments on pesticides/general and pesticides/forestry to the pesticides team to review. Alan will distribute draft responses to comments on landslides and riparian (*completed*) to the forestry team to review.

•□□□□□□ Allison will talk with Alan about the Tech Team's discussion on whether to include recommendations in response to comments in agriculture MMs.

- Allison will look at the Federal Register notice to see what was said about state submittal.

October 9th Managerial OR CZARA Agenda

- **Housekeeping Items**

- Follow-up on additional MM questions to attorneys: all issues addressed? Understand all responses?
- Riparian-final paragraph on rationale
- Follow-up on Forestry/pesticides: managers' preferred option
- Ag MMs: response to comments & where to include recommendations*
- **Action Items/Next Steps**

Upcoming CZARA Managers Meetings

October 9 at 1 pm/4 pm

October 15 at 2 pm/5 pm

October 21 at noon/3 pm

October 30 at noon/3 pm

Upcoming CZARA Tech Meetings

October 14 at 10 am/1 pm

October 21 at 10 am/1 pm

October 28 at 10 am/1 pm

**Potential wording in Response to Comments document: "NOAA and EPA invited public comment on the adequacy of Oregon's programs and policies for meeting the 6217(g) agriculture management measures and conditions placed on Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Program. The federal agencies appreciate the comments received and will consider them closely. However, the December 20, 2013, proposed findings did not propose a specific decision on whether or not Oregon had satisfied the CZARA 6217(g) agriculture management measures. Since the public did not have an opportunity to comment on a specific proposed decision and rationale for that decision, the adequacy of Oregon's agriculture programs is not a basis for the final findings that Oregon has failed to submit an approvable coastal nonpoint program. The public will have an opportunity to comment on NOAA and EPA's proposed decision regarding the agriculture management measures at a later date."*

Regards,

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit
US EPA, Region 10
1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-8512, (206) 553-0165 (fax)
carlin.jayne@epa.gov

<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>